Subtilase activity in intrusive cells mediates haustorium maturation in parasitic plants
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Abstract

Parasitic plants that infect crops are devastating to agriculture throughout the world. These parasites develop a unique inducible organ called the haustorium that connects the vascular systems of the parasite and host to establish a flow of water and nutrients. Upon contact with the host, the haustorial epidermal cells at the interface with the host differentiate into specific cells called intrusive cells that grow endophytically toward the host vasculature. Following this, some of the intrusive cells re-differentiate to form a xylem bridge (XB) that connects the vasculatures of the parasite and host. Despite the prominent role of intrusive cells in host infection, the molecular mechanisms mediating parasitism in the intrusive cells remain poorly understood. In this study, we investigated differential gene expression in the intrusive cells of the facultative parasite Phtheirospermum japonicum in the family Orobanchaceae by RNA-sequencing of laser-microdissected haustoria. We then used promoter analyses to identify genes that are specifically induced in intrusive cells, and promoter fusions with genes encoding fluorescent proteins to develop intrusive cell-specific markers. Four of the identified intrusive cell-specific genes encode subtilisin-like serine proteases (SBTs), whose biological functions in parasitic plants are unknown. Expression of SBT inhibitors in intrusive cells inhibited both intrusive cell and XB development and reduced auxin response levels adjacent to the area of XB development. Therefore, we propose that subtilase activity plays an important role in haustorium development in P. japonicum.
Introduction

There are about 4,500 species of parasitic plants; these parasites are widespread and those that infect crops are serious threats to agriculture (Yoshida et al., 2016; Clarke et al., 2019). In particular, members of the family Orobanchaceae, such as Striga spp. and Orobanche spp., are destructive root parasitic plants that invade major crops including rice, sorghum, and maize, often in resource-poor societies, and cause annual economic losses of over 1 billion US dollars (Parker, 2010; Runo and Kuria, 2018). Parasitic Orobanchaceae plants produce large numbers of tiny seeds that are spread widely by wind, water, and anthropogenic activity. To germinate, these seeds require host-derived stimulants such as strigolactones, which are a class of phytohormones (Yoneyama et al., 2010). These seeds can survive for decades in soil without germination, and thus it is difficult to eliminate parasitic plants from agricultural fields (Scholes and Press, 2008; Spallek et al., 2013; Gobena et al., 2017).

Parasitic plants develop a unique inducible organ called the haustorium that is used for invasion of the host plants. The haustorium connects the vasculature of the parasite with that of the host to establish a flow of nutrients and water from the host to the parasite (Yoshida et al., 2016; Clarke et al., 2019). Upon recognition of host-derived haustorium-inducing factors (Lynn and Chang, 1990), the parasite initiates organogenesis by activating cell division and cell expansion. In Orobanchaceae parasites, once the haustorium approaches the host, the epidermal cells in proximity to the host cells differentiate into intrusive cells, which have highly elongated shapes and function by intruding into the host (Musselman and Dickison, 1975). Once intrusive cells reach the host vasculature, some of the intrusive cells differentiate into xylem vessels, and subsequently formation of a xylem bridge (XB) between the parasite and host vasculature systems is initiated (Musselman and Dickison, 1975; Cui et al., 2016; Wakatake et al., 2018).

Despite many studies aimed at analyzing the transcriptional changes that occur during haustorium development and host infection in various species of parasitic plants (Ranjan et al., 2014; Ichihashi et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2016; Yoshida et al., 2019), there have been few functional studies of these haustorium-specific genes. To explore the molecular mechanisms of parasitism, including haustorium organogenesis, we established a model parasitic plant system using Phtheirospermum japonicum, a facultative parasitic plant in the Orobanchaceae (Ishida et al., 2016; Spallek et al., 2017). Phtheirospermum japonicum is a self-fertilizing plant with a diploid genome, allowing forward genetics studies (Cui et al., 2016). In addition, an efficient root transformation system by Agrobacterium rhizogenes-mediated hairy root formation has been established, making functional studies of haustorial genes feasible (Ishida et al., 2011). To identify genes important for parasitism, we previously performed transcriptome analyses using rice-infecting P. japonicum and identified genes strongly expressed during the parasitic stage (Ishida et al., 2016). Among these was the auxin biosynthetic gene YUCCA3, which contributes to auxin biosynthesis in the haustorium. The resulting auxin undergoes intercellular transportation and leads to the differentiation of tracheary elements, resulting in the formation of the XB that connects the parasite with the host (Ishida et al., 2016; Wakatake et al., 2018; Wakatake et al., 2020).

In this study, we identified differentially expressed genes in P. japonicum intrusive cells by using a laser microdissection method (LMD) combined with transcriptome analysis. We then used temporal and spatial promoter analyses to establish intrusive cell-specific gene markers. Among the identified upregulated genes, we focused on four genes encoding subtilisin-like serine proteases (subtilases, SBTs) that were exclusively expressed in the intrusive cells. We found that expression of SBT inhibitor proteins in the intrusive cells inhibited the maturation of the haustorium. Thus, our findings provide molecular insight about how parasitic plants develop their haustoria via SBTs.

Results

Intrusive cell-specific gene expression

Intrusive cells only form at the interface between a parasite and a susceptible host and thus likely participate in the invasion into host tissues and the molecular dialogue between parasite and host (Goyet et al., 2019). Despite the distinctive nature of intrusive cells, they have not been studied functionally and in detail yet. To seek molecular markers of their function in P. japonicum, we performed LMD coupled with tissue-specific transcriptome analysis. We used rice (Oryza sativa cv Koshihikari) as the host plant because P. japonicum forms haustoria with relatively more intrusive cells on rice than on Arabidopsis roots (Figure 1, A and B). We separated the intrusive regions from other parts of the haustoria using LMD with cryosectioned haustoria (Figure 1, C and D), and obtained transcriptome profiles using the Illumina MiSeq system. After filtering out rice-derived sequences, the reads were mapped onto the draft genome of P. japonicum (Conn et al., 2015) and gene expression values were obtained. Whole-transcriptome data are listed in Supplemental Data Set S1. We detected a total of 3,079 differentially expressed genes between the intrusive cell region and the remainder of the haustorium (Supplemental Figure S1 and Supplemental Data Set S2). Subsequent Gene Ontology (GO) analysis revealed that nine GO terms, including “cell wall,” “response to biotic stimulus,” “transporter activity,” and “metabolic process” were enriched in both regions, whereas 15 GO terms, including “lipid metabolic process” and “carbohydrate metabolic process,” were enriched specifically in other parts of the haustorium (Supplemental Tables S1 and S2). Only one term, “response to stress” was enriched in intrusive cells but not in other parts of the haustorium (Supplemental Table S1). The other terms were not enriched in either region.

Next, we aimed to identify marker genes for intrusive cells as tools to investigate this cell type further. We selected...
three candidates among the differentially expressed genes that showed strong and specific expression in the intrusive cells: a homolog of *Haesa-like1* (*HSL1*) that we named *Intrusive Cell-Specific Leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase1* (*ICSL1*), *Germin-Like Protein1* (*GLP1*), and *Constitutive Disease Resistance1* (*CDR1*). These genes encode a leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase, a germin-like protein, and an aspartic protease, respectively (Xia et al., 2004; Ham et al., 2012; Qian et al., 2018). To test whether these genes show specific expression in intrusive cells, we made constructs containing each gene promoter linked to the sequence encoding a nuclear-localized fluorescent protein [3xVenus-nuclear localization signal (NLS)]. We used the constructs to transform *P. japonicum* and analyzed the Venus fluorescence in *P. japonicum* haustoria formed after infection of *Arabidopsis thaliana* roots. For all constructs, fluorescence was detected specifically in the intrusive cells at 2 d post-infection (dpi; Figure 2, A, C and E), and was stronger at 3 dpi (Figure 2, B, D and F). Intrusive cells are derived from epidermal cells, but an epidermis marker construct (pAtPGP4::3xVenus-NLS) is not expressed in the intrusive region (Wakatake et al., 2018). To further verify that ICSL1 expression is specific to intrusive cells, we used the ICSL1 promoter to drive a fluorescent marker module that localizes to the plasma membrane (3xmCherry-SYP; Wakatake et al., 2018). In *P. japonicum* haustoria that were transformed with both pAtPGP4::3xVenus-NLS and pICSL1:3xmCherry-SYP, we found mutually exclusive expression patterns for the two constructs at 4 dpi (Supplemental Figure S2), with only pICSL1:3xmCherry-SYP expression in the intrusive cells. Based on these analyses, we defined ICSL1 as a reliable intrusive cell marker for further analyses.

**Phylogeny and expression patterns of SBTs in *P. japonicum***

Among the genes that were expressed at higher levels in intrusive cells than in the remainder of the haustorium, we found five genes encoding SBTs. This was consistent with our previous report that SBTs are highly expressed during the parasitic stage in *P. japonicum* (Ishida et al., 2016). We therefore hypothesized that SBTs in intrusive cells may contribute to the host invasion process. To classify the SBT genes expressed in intrusive cells, we first identified all SBTs in the *P. japonicum* genome (Conn et al., 2015) on the basis of their Asp–His–Ser catalytic triad and their peptidase activity.
Figure 3  Phylogeny of the SBTs in *P. japonicum* and Arabidopsis. The 97 SBTs in *P. japonicum* are shown in red and the 55 SBTs in Arabidopsis are shown in black. According to Rautengarten et al. (2005), the SBTs are categorized into six groups. The Group-6 SBTs represent the outgroup. The green/red squares indicate the SBT gene expression levels at different time points in the haustorium of *P. japonicum* (Kurotani et al., 2020). The blue/orange squares indicate the SBT gene expression levels in the intrusive cells relative to their expression in other haustorial parts. Stars indicate the SBTs with higher expression in the intrusive cells than in the other haustorial parts.
As a result, 97 putative SBTs met these criteria (Figure 3). A phylogenetic analysis revealed that the five SBTs upregulated in intrusive cells all belong to Group 1 (Taylor and Qiu, 2017; Reichardt et al., 2018; Figure 3), which contains many SBTs involved in biotic interactions. These genes were thus designated as SBT1.1.1, SBT1.2.3, SBT1.5.2, SBT1.7.2, and SBT1.7.3. We also found that many of the 97 SBT genes in *P. japonicum* were induced in the haustorium at 3 dpi or later (Figure 3; transcriptome data from Kurotani et al., 2020, available from the DNA Data Bank of Japan (http://www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/) under the accession number, DRA010010), indicating that these SBTs were activated after attachment to the host.

**SBTs specifically expressed in intrusive cells**

To confirm the expression patterns of the five SBTs upregulated in intrusive cells, we made constructs containing each gene promoter linked to the 3xVenus-NLS module, transformed *P. japonicum* with the constructs, and analyzed Venus fluorescence in *P. japonicum* haustoria after infection of *A. thaliana* roots with a confocal microscope. The Venus signal driven by the putative SBT1.5.2 promoter was not detected at selected time points. We thus focused on the remaining four SBTs in further analyses. An alignment of their protein products is shown in Supplemental Figure S3. Since these SBT proteins contain signal peptides, it is likely that they are localized extracellularly. The promoters of SBT1.1.1, SBT1.2.3, and SBT1.7.3 were sufficient to drive detectable Venus expression in the intrusive cells at 3–7 dpi (Figure 4A). Expression of SBT1.7.2 was more transient, with weaker signal at 7 dpi as compared with at 3 and 5 dpi. For the SBT1.7.3 promoter, signals were also detected in vascular cells in the meristematic region (Figure 4A). We used reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) to analyze induction of the four SBTs in whole haustoria, and found that the levels of induction at 3 and 7 dpi were consistent with the results from the Venus fluorescence analysis (Figure 4B). We also analyzed expression of a 3xVenus-NLS construct driven by the SBT1.7.1 gene, which is phylogenetically close to SBT1.7.2 and SBT1.7.3 (Figure 3). Fluorescence from this construct was observed in the epidermal cells but not the intrusive cells (Supplemental Figure S4). Since the intrusive cells are uniquely found in
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parasitic plants, SBT1.1.1, SBT1.2.3, SBT1.7.2, and SBT1.7.3 expression in this cell type suggests that these SBTs function in parasitism.

SBTs play important roles in development of the host–parasite connection via auxin response

The four SBT genes discussed above may be functionally redundant, and silencing multiple genes in *P. japonicum* is challenging due to the lack of a transgenerational transformation method. Therefore, we used an SBT inhibitor protein to analyze the function of the intrusive cell-specific SBTs. For this purpose, we chose extracellular proteinase inhibitor 10 (Epi10) from *Phytophthora infestans.* Epi10 inhibits bacterial and plant SBTs but does not inhibit the other major serine proteases tested, namely trypsin and chymotrypsin (Tian et al., 2005; Scharford et al., 2016). In Arabidopsis, the tissue-specific expression of Epi10 results in the efficient inhibition of partly redundant SBTs (Scharford et al., 2016; Stührwoldt et al., 2020). Therefore, we considered that Epi10 is a suitable tool to inhibit several PjSBTs (*P. japonicum*) simultaneously in a tissue-specific manner. We compared the development of haustoria in *P. japonicum* roots transformed with these constructs with the development of haustoria in control roots transformed with an empty vector. We found that hairy roots transformed with the Epi10 constructs showed reduced XB formation in the haustoria at 5 dpi after infection of Arabidopsis roots when compared with control hairy roots (Figure 5, A–D and Supplemental Figure S5–7). The same effect on XB formation was also observed in haustoria expressing the SBT inhibitor AtSPI-1 (Supplemental Figure S7; Hohl et al., 2017). EPI10 and AtSPI-1 belong to different families of proteinase inhibitors, the I1 and I9 families, respectively. Supported by the use of two structurally unrelated yet specific proteinase inhibitors, we conclude that SBT activity is required in haustoria for normal XB development. This conclusion is also supported by the previous report of the potential involvement of SBT in xylem formation (Zhao et al., 2000).

Next, we investigated whether the Epi10-transformed hairy roots would show other developmental abnormalities. We were particularly interested in the effects on intrusive cells, given the specific expression of SBT1.1.1 and SBT1.2.3 in intrusive cells. Therefore, we monitored expression of...
the intrusive cell marker ICSL1 (Figure 2, A and B) in the 
Epi10-expressing haustoria. To accomplish this, we trans-
formed P. japonicum roots with each of the 
Epi10 constructs 
and with a construct encoding the mCherry fluorescent pro-
tein with a 3xmCherry-NLS driven by the 
ICSL1 promoter. In control roots transformed with 
pICSL1::3xmCherry-NLS but 
not with 
Epi10, all haustoria showed specific mCherry fluo-
rescence in the intrusive cells. In contrast, <45% of the 
pSBT1.1.1::Epi10 haustoria, and ~67% of the pSBT1.2.3::Epi10 
haustoria showed mCherry fluorescence at 5 dpi (Figure 5, 
E–H). We noticed that some, but not all, of the 
pSBT1.1.1::Epi10 and pSBT1.2.3::Epi10 haustoria recovered 
intrusive cells and XB at 9 dpi (Supplemental Figure S8) Taken together, our results suggest 
that the SBT activities regulate auxin-dependent maturation 
of P. japonicum haustoria.

Discussion

We used P. japonicum as a model parasitic plant to elucidate the molecular mechanisms that regulate parasitic functions in the intrusive cells of the haustoria. By using tissue-specific RNA-seq analysis coupled with LMD, we identified a number of genes that are upregulated in intrusive cells (Supplemental Data Set S2). A previous study used the LMD method to reveal genes that are specifically expressed 
at the host–parasite interface, which includes the intrusive cells, in the facultative hemiparasite Tryphisia versicolor 
infesting Zea mays or Medicago truncatula (Honaas et al., 
2013). Here, the GO term “transcription factor activity” was overrepresented, whereas the term “transporter activity” was
under-represented at the host–parasite interface. In contrast, we found that the term "transporter activity" was enriched in the intrusive cells in *P. japonicum* (Supplemental Table S1). Although a direct comparison of the two experiments is difficult, the results may indicate that *T. versicolor* and *P. japonicum* employ different strategies to invade their particular hosts. Enrichment of "transporter activity" in intrusive cells also suggests that these cells may have a function in nutrient transfer, which would be consistent with their position at the interface between host vasculature and parasite haustorium. We found that several GO terms, such as "lipid metabolic process" and "carbohydrate metabolic process," are strongly enriched in the rest of the haustorium but not in the intrusive cells (Supplemental Tables S1 and S2). Yoshida et al. (2019) showed that genes categorized under the GO terms "protein metabolic process," "carbohydrate metabolic process," and "catabolic process" are upregulated in rice-infecting *Striga hermonthica* at 7 dpi, which is when the host–parasite connections in the haustorium are established. This result indicates that metabolically demanding processes such as morphological changes are activated in the haustoria in the family Orobanchaceae.

Based on our intrusive cell-specific transcriptome, we established that the three *P. japonicum* genes *ICSL1*, *GLP1*, and *CDR1* showed strong and specific expression in intrusive cells and could be used as molecular markers for these cells (Figure 2). *ICSL1* is homologous to the Arabidopsis HSL1 receptor, which localizes to the plasma membrane and recognizes peptide hormones (Jinn et al., 2000; Stenvik et al., 2008). The phylogenetically closest Arabidopsis ICSL1 homolog, however, is AtRLP52, a receptor-like kinase associated with disease resistance and an unknown ligand (Ramonell et al., 2005; Ellendorff et al., 2008; Supplemental Figure S9). Thus, it is possible that ICSL1 also recognizes peptide hormones. Further experiments are required to identify the unknown ICSL1 ligand and to determine if it originates from the parasite or the host. The second marker gene encodes *GLP1*, which belongs to a GLP superfamily that consists of structurally diverse plant glycoproteins including enzymes such as oxalate oxidases and superoxide dismutases (Rietz et al., 2012; Sakamoto et al., 2015). Phylogenetic analysis revealed that *GLP1* in *P. japonicum* is closely related to *Arabidopsis* GLP1 and GLP3, which lack oxalate oxidase activity, and to GhABP19 in *Gossypium hirsutum*, a superoxide dismutase potentially regulating redox status (Pei et al., 2019; Supplemental Figure S10). Interestingly, the only other gene with experimentally confirmed expression in intrusive cells encodes a peroxidase in *S. hermonthica* (Yoshida et al., 2019). Also, chemically inhibiting peroxidase activity, and thus altering the redox homeostasis, reduces haustorium formation in *Striga* spp. and *Triphysaria* (Wada et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). The expression of a superoxide dismutase in *P. japonicum* intrusive cells further supports a role for redox regulating enzymes in haustorium development. The third intrusive cell-specific gene that we identified encodes *CDR1*, which belongs to a family of aspartic proteases. The *P. japonicum* CDR1 is a homolog of aspartic proteases that regulate disease resistance signaling in *Arabidopsis* (Xia et al., 2004; Supplemental Figure S11). It is currently not known if *CDR1* regulates defense responses in *P. japonicum*; however, the expression of defense-related genes in *P. japonicum* haustoria was seen in a previous microarray study (Ishida et al., 2016). *ICSL1*, *GLP1*, and *CDR1* show the same spatio-temporal expression pattern, with detectable expression beginning at 2 dpi at the interface with the host (Figure 2, A, C and E). This is the time point when expression of an epidermis marker ceases in the same region (Wakatake et al., 2018). Thus, the developmental switch from epidermis to intrusive cell is likely to be activated around this time point. Considering the mutually exclusive expression patterns of the epidermis marker gene and the intrusive cell marker gene (Supplemental Figure S2), we would expect that the transcriptional landscapes of these two cell types are substantially different. Specific expression of *SBT1.7.1* in the epidermal cells, but not in the intrusive cells, further supports this idea (Supplemental Figure S4). The intrusive cell-specific markers identified in this study were expressed uniformly in the entire intrusive region (Figure 2). However, only a fraction of those cells differentiate into tracheary elements to be part of the XB (Wakatake et al., 2020). Thus, it seems that there are different types of cells in the intrusive cell population. This is likely due to nonuniform auxin response in the intrusive region. Thus, further detailed analyses are required to reveal the mechanisms by which auxin responses are controlled in intrusive cells.

A transcriptome analysis of *P. japonicum* haustoria in our previous study revealed that 7 of the 10 genes with the highest, exclusive expression in the parasitic stage were *SBTs* (Ishida et al., 2016; Supplemental Table S3). Many *SBT* genes are also upregulated in *Striga* spp. upon infection (Yoshida et al., 2019). *SBTs* are a widespread protein family existing in eubacteria, archaeabacteria, eukaryotes, and viruses (Rawlings and Barrett, 1994; Schaller et al., 2018). In plants, *SBTs* are required for the maturation of plant peptide hormones, leading to phenotypic changes such as root elongation, abscission of floral organs, and embryonic cuticle integrity (Matsubayashi, 2014; Ghorbani et al., 2016; Schardon et al., 2016; Doll et al., 2020; Reichardt et al., 2020). Here, we identified four *SBT* genes that are exclusively expressed in intrusive cells (Figures 3 and 4), and they all belong to Group 1. Groups 1 and 5 *SBTs* are highly expanded in parasitic plants compared with those in *Arabidopsis*, whereas Groups 3 and 4 are much smaller in *P. japonicum* than in *Arabidopsis* (Figure 3 and Supplemental Figure S12). Such apparent species-specific expansion of *SBTs* might indicate distinct biological function for each species. In particular, Clade 6 is highly expanded in *S. hermonthica* but not in other species tested. Further analyses are required for elucidating such expanded clades in particular species. Importantly, more than 40% of *SBTs* in the parasites *P. japonicum*, *Striga asiatica*, and *S. hermonthica* belong to Group-1 *SBTs*. In addition, Group-1 *SBTs* also expanded in plants that undergo symbiosis with nitrogen-fixing bacteria. Group-1 *SBTs* also include
many that are involved in plant defense (Taylor and Qiu, 2017; Reichardt et al., 2018). These findings indicate that Group-1 SBTs may have evolved for biotic interactions, including parasitism. The molecular functions and substrates of several Group-1 SBTs in nonparasitic plants have been investigated. For example, Phytaspase 2, a Group-1 SBT in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), cleaves and activates the peptide hormone PHYTOSULFOKINE (PSK), which induces stress-induced flower drop in tomato, in addition to its well-established growth regulatory and immune-modulating activities (Reichardt et al., 2020). Genes for PSK and its candidate receptor are present in the P. japonicum genome. Interestingly, expression of these two genes is upregulated in the haustoria but not in the intrusive cells. If SBT1.1.1 or SBT1.2.3 is involved in PSK precursor processing, the expression of these two proteins in two different cell types would suggest that they may facilitate the communication between haustorial tissues. A similar tissue–tissue dialogue mediated by SBTs was recently shown to operate during Arabidopsis seed development (Doll et al., 2020). In contrast, Arabidopsis SBT1.2 (alias SDD1), a homolog of P. japonicum SBT1.2.3, contributes to stomatal development (Von Groll et al., 2002). The substrates of SDD1 have not been identified, but were suggested to also include plant peptide hormones.

We showed that SBT activity in intrusive cells contributes to haustorium development (Figures 4–6; Supplemental Figure S6 and S7). Intrusive cells per se were still formed in Epi10-transgenic hairy roots (Figure 5, B and E; Supplemental Figure S5). Epi10 was previously used to overcome genetic redundancy in SBT loss-of-function studies (Schardon et al., 2016; Stührwohldt et al., 2017, 2020). The delay in haustorium development observed in Epi10-transgenic hairy roots (Supplemental Figure S6), together with the specific expression of several SBTs in intrusive cells, supports our hypothesis that SBTs contribute to the differentiation of intrusive cells into xylem vessels, leading to XB formation. However, Epi10 comprises three inhibitor domains, only one of which (EPI10b, the so-called atypical Kazal domain) is SBT-specific (Tian and Kamoun, 2005; Tian et al., 2005). Therefore, to exclude the possibility that Epi10-mediated inhibition of other, as yet unknown, serine proteases is responsible for the delay in haustorium development, we repeated the experiment using AtSPI-1 (At, A. thaliana) as a structurally unrelated yet specific SBT inhibitor (Hohl et al., 2017). The same effect on haustorium development was observed in AtSPI-1-transgenic hairy roots as for Epi10, confirming a role for SBTs in XB formation (Supplemental Figure S7). The specific expression of SBTs during the parasitic stage is shared between P. japonicum and S. hermonthica, suggesting that SBTs are important for parasitism in the family Orobanchaceae. Intrusive cell-specific SBTs have not yet been identified in S. hermonthica. However, an S. hermonthica SBT is expressed specifically in the haustorial hyaline body (Yoshida et al., 2019). The hyaline body consists of parenchymatic tissue in the central region of the haustorium and is characterized by dense, organelle-rich cytoplasm, abundant paramural deposits, and high metabolic activity (Visser et al., 1984). The hyaline body has not yet been identified in P. japonicum and it may be morphologically distinct from that in S. hermonthica. The further identification of cell type-specific SBTs in haustoria may facilitate the identification and functional studies of the hyaline body in P. japonicum.

Our data suggest that expression of the SBTs may be initiated in cells that eventually become intrusive cells, then the SBT activities contribute to the maturation of the intrusive cells, where the marker gene ICSL1 is expressed. After expression of intrusive cell-specific markers, intrusive cells may invade host tissue, intrusive cells reach the host vasculature, auxin is transported inward toward the root vasculature, and then the XB is formed (Wakatake et al., 2020). Importantly, treatment with haustorium-inducing factors induces haustorium organogenesis in P. japonicum without hosts, but the intrusive cells and XB are not formed in these haustoria (Ishida et al., 2016; Goyet et al., 2019). Identification of the unknown, host-derived signals required for intrusive cell-specific SBT induction will provide insight into the mechanisms by which Orobanchaceae parasites invade the host plants.

We also found that several SBTs were induced at the later stages of the infection both in P. japonicum and in S. hermonthica (Supplemental Figure S12). The late expression of SBTs during the infection indicates that parasitic plants utilize SBTs also after attachment to the host, possibly in regulating parasitism. We focused our study on SBTs with a role in haustorium development that can be studied with transgenic P. japonicum hairy roots (Ishida et al., 2016; Wakatake et al., 2020). To address the role of SBTs in later stages of the infection would require the generation of stable transgenic plants. In addition, many SBT clades were found to be species-specific, suggesting that each parasite has recruited SBTs independently to promote parasitism. Since parasitic plants are able to transfer molecules such as phytohormones and microRNAs (Spallek et al., 2017; Shahid et al., 2018), it is possible that peptides processed by SBTs in the haustorium can be transported from the parasite into the host. Further analyses of peptides in infected hosts will be required to assess this hypothesis. In summary, our study showed that SBTs are required for haustorium development. Functional studies of other parasite SBTs and their targets will provide important insights into plant parasitism in future studies.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

Phtheirospermum japonicum (Thunb.) Kanitz and rice (Oryza sativa L. subspecies japonica, cv Koshibikari) seeds were handled as described previously (Yoshida and Shirasu, 2009; Ishida et al., 2011). For in vitro germination, P. japonicum seeds were surface sterilized with 10% (v/v) commercial bleach solution (Kao, Tokyo, Japan) for 5 min, followed by five rinses with sterilized water. Seeds were then
sown on solid half-strength MS medium (0.8% (w/v) Bacto agar, 1% (w/v) sucrose, pH 5.8). After stratification at 4°C in the dark overnight, plants were grown either vertically for infection assays or horizontally for transformation, at 25°C under long-day conditions (16-h light, 8-h dark). Arabidopsis (A. thaliana, ecotype Col-0) seeds were surface sterilized with 5% (v/v) commercial bleach solution for 5 min, followed by five rinses with sterilized water. Seeds were then sown on solid half-strength MS medium. After stratification at 4°C in the dark overnight, plants were grown vertically at 22°C under long-day conditions. Rice seeds were sterilized with 70% (v/v) ethanol for 3 min, followed by incubation in a 50% (v/v) commercial bleach solution for 20 min. After five rinses with sterilized water, seeds were sown on quarter-strength Gamborg’s B5 medium (Sigma) with 0.8% (w/v) agar (INA). Plates were kept vertically at 26°C under long-day conditions.

**Histological staining**

Safranin-O staining of whole haustorium was performed as described previously (Wakatake et al., 2018).

**Sample preparation for RNA-seq**

Ten-day-old P. japonicum seedlings were transferred to quarter-strength Gamborg's B5 medium (0.7% (w/v) agar; INA) and grown vertically at 25°C under long-day conditions for 2 d. These seedlings and 7-d-old rice seedlings were transferred together to new quarter-strength Gamborg’s B5 plates for infection at 25°C under long-day conditions. At 5 dpi, haustoria were excised and immediately soaked in chilled RNA later (Sigma) and stored at 4°C. Samples were embedded in FSC 22 frozen section media (Leica biosystems) in self-made aluminum molds in an acetone bath at −75°C. Frozen blocks were sectioned to 20 μm thickness using a cryostat (Leica CM3050s) with adhesive seals at −30°C. Sections were transferred to room temperature and immediately air-dried. The intrusive regions and the other parts of the haustorium were dissected using a Leica LMD7000. Dissected tissues were collected in the lids of 0.5-mL microtubes filled with RNA extraction buffer. Approximately 20 haustoria were used for one biological replicate. Total RNAs were extracted using the Picopure RNA isolation kit (Arcturus) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNase I (Qiagen) was applied to the column RNA isolation kit (Arcturus) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The KAPA LA kit (Nippon Genetics) was used for library amplification after fragmentation. PCR cycles were adjusted to eight or nine depending on the amount of input cDNA. Libraries were pooled and sequenced with three runs on the MiSeq using the reagent kit V2 (Illumina).

**Bioinformatics Analysis**

The adapter sequences in the primers for library preparation and whole transcript amplification were trimmed and low-quality sequences were removed. Quality-filtered reads were mapped to Nipponbare-reference-IRGSP-1.0 pseudomolecules (Kawahara et al., 2013) using the CLC genomics workbench (ver. 8.0, Qiagen) with a threshold setting of 95% match. The remaining unmapped reads were considered as P. japonicum-derived sequences and mapped to the P. japonicum draft genome with a threshold setting of 90% match. Unique read counts obtained for each gene model were used for further analysis. Differential gene expression analysis was performed in R with the TCC package (Sun et al., 2013; https://www.R-project.org/). GO analysis was performed with GO seq using the results of the differential gene expression analysis (Young et al., 2010). We used the CLC Main Workbench (ver. 8.0.1, Qiagen) for identification of the putative SBTs in P. japonicum, vector design, and sequence analyses.

**Phylogenetic Analysis**

Phylogenetic analyses were performed using the CLC Genomics Workbench (ver. 8.0, Qiagen). Predicted amino acid sequences were trimmed using trimAl (Capella-Gutierrez et al., 2009), followed by alignment. Based on the alignment, the phylogenetic tree was drawn using the maximum-likelihood method. For comparing the SBTs in P. japonicum and Arabidopsis, the reliability of the trees was tested by bootstrap analysis with 1,000 resamplings. For
comparing the SBTs in *P. japonicum*, *Striga asiatica*, and *Striga hermonthica*, the reliability of the trees was tested by bootstrap analysis with 100 resamplings. The figure was generated by iTOL (ver. 5; https://itol.embl.de/; Letunic and Bork, 2007).

**Cloning**

Golden Gate cloning technology was used for cloning (Engler *et al.*, 2014). All the *Bpi* and *Bsa* restriction sites within the cloned DNA sequences were mutated. The golden gate modules 3xVenus-NLS, 3xmCherry-SYP, pACT::3xmCherry-NLS, and pAtPGP4::3xVenus-NLS were described previously (Ishida *et al.*, 2016; Wakatake *et al.*, 2018).

Vectors Containing Intrusive Cell Markers. The *PjCLSL1* (1,799 bp), *PjGLP1* (2,634 bp), and *PjCDR1* (2,496 bp) promoter regions were each PCR-amplified as two fragments from *P. japonicum* genomic DNA and cloned separately into pAGM1311. The fragments were then combined into the pICH41295 level-0 vector. The promoter sequences were next assembled into level-1 vectors together with the fluorescent protein module and the 3′-UTR and HSP terminator module (UTR, untranslated region; HSP, Heat Shock Protein). pICSL1::3xmCherry-SYP was further combined with pAtPGP4:3xVenus-NLS in the binary vector pAGM4723 (Engler *et al.*, 2014).

Vectors Containing the SBT Promoters. The SBT1.5.2 (2,000 bp) and SBT1.7.3 (1,799 bp) promoter regions were each PCR-amplified as three fragments from *P. japonicum* genomic DNA and cloned separately into pAGM1311. The fragments were then combined into the pICH41295 level-0 vector. The promoter sequences were next assembled into level-1 vectors together with the fluorescent protein module and the 3′-UTR and HSP terminator module (UTR, untranslated region; HSP, Heat Shock Protein). pICSL1::3xmCherry-SYP was further combined with pAtPGP4:3xVenus-NLS in the binary vector pAGM4723.

Vectors Containing Epi10 Sequence. A codon-optimized Epi10 construct containing the signal peptide-encoding region of AtSBT1.7 (At5g67360; Schardon *et al.*, 2016) was amplified with Golden Gate-compatible primers and cloned into pAGM9121 to generate a level-0 CDS1 module (Engler *et al.*, 2014). The Epi10 level-0 CDS1 module was then assembled between the *PjSBT* promoter modules and an HSP terminator sequence (Wakatake *et al.*, 2018). The final level-1 constructs were combined with the fluorescent transformation marker pSSS:3xVenus-NLS in the binary vector pAGM4723. Using previously described AtSPI-1 constructs (Hohl *et al.*, 2017), Golden Gate-compatible, AtSPI-1-containing plasmids were generated similarly to the Epi10 constructs, except that we introduced a synonymous mutation to the AtSPI-1 sequence to remove the endogenous *Bpi* restriction site.

**Transformation of *P. japonicum***

Transformation of *P. japonicum* was performed as previously described by Ishida *et al.* (2011) with several modifications. Silwet L-77 (Bio Medical Science) was added to an *A. rhizogenes* bacterial solution (OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.1) to a final concentration of 0.02% (v/v) just prior to transformation. Six-day-old *P. japonicum* seedlings were immersed in the bacterial/Silwet L-77 solution and submitted to ultrasonication using a bath sonicator (Ultrasonic Automatic Washer; AS ONE) for 10–15 s. The sonicated seedlings were vacuum infiltrated for 5 min. The seedlings were transferred to freshly made co-cultivation medium [Gamborg B5 agar medium with 1% (w/v) sucrose and 450 μM acetosyringone] and kept in the dark at 22°C for 2 d. After co-cultivation, the seedlings were transferred to B5 agar medium containing cefotaxime (300 μg mL<sup>−1</sup>). After 3–4 weeks, the transformed roots were used for infection. Identification of the transgenic roots was performed as previously described by Ishida *et al.* (2016).

**Microscopy**

Microscopy with transformed *P. japonicum* was performed as previously described by Wakatake *et al.* (2018) with several modifications. In brief, we selected *P. japonicum* plants with hairy roots generated from aerial parts as candidate transformants. We transferred them from B5 media to 0.7% agar (Ina food industry) without any nutrient to induce root elongation during 1-d incubation at 25°C under long-day conditions. We then selected hairy roots showing fluorescence as transformants using a dissection microscope (E165). We transferred the transgenic hairy roots onto glass-bottomed petri dishes (IWAKI, Japan) and covered them with thin 0.7% (w/v) agar containing cefotaxime (300 μg mL<sup>−1</sup>), followed by 1-d incubation at 25°C under long-day conditions for acclimation. To initiate parasitism, we placed 7-d-old Arabidopsis seedlings next to the transgenic roots between glass bottom and thin agar, followed by incubation at 25°C under long-day conditions. We observed fluorescence in the induced haustoria with an inverted confocal microscope (Leica, TCS SP5 II). For Venus fluorescence, we used a 514-nm laser for excitation and detected emission at 525–560 nm. For mCherry fluorescence, we used a 543-nm laser for excitation and detected emission at 570–640 nm. We selected the expression pattern observed in the majority of the transgenic hairy roots transformed with the same construct as the representative phenotype, after exclusion of the transgenic roots that did not establish haustorium development. For the figures in this study, we selected the haustoria exhibiting strong fluorescence to clearly show the expression patterns in the cells of the deeper layers of the haustoria.
RT-qPCR
For extraction of total RNA from the haustoria, *P. japonicum* seedlings were grown vertically for 9 d followed by incubation for 2 d on water agar plates before infection of 7-d-old *A. thaliana* seedlings. At 3 and 7 dpi, haustoria were excised and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. We removed the *A. thaliana* roots as much as possible. Ten to twenty haustoria were used for each sample. For the 0-dpi samples, we used the root elongation zones from *P. japonicum* seedlings without infection. Total RNA was extracted using the RNAeasy plant mini kit (QIAGEN), followed by cDNA synthesis using the ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Kit (TOYOBO). During RNA extraction, we treated with DNase to remove residual genomic DNA. RT-qPCR was performed as previously described by Spallek et al. (2017). *PjUBC2* was used as a reference gene. The expression level of each gene was quantified using the ddCt method (dd, delta-delta).

**Primers**
All primers used for library preparation, cloning, and RT-qPCR are listed in Supplemental Table S4.

**Statistics**
Welch’s t test was performed in Microsoft Excel 2016.

**Accession numbers**
Assembled *P. japonicum* draft genome and annotation are available in the DNA Data Bank of Japan (https://www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/index-e.html) with accession numbers BMAC01000001-BMAC01010559 and also available at Dryad database (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.vt4b8gtpt).

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL libraries under accession number BankIt2316603: MT149970-MT150066 (SBTs); BankIt2324477: MT226912 (ICSL1), MT226913 (GLP1), MT226914 (CDR1).

MIAME-compliant (minimum information about a microarray experiment) raw RNA-seq data were deposited at the DNA Data Bank of Japan under accession number DRA010238.

Gene ID: ICSL1, Pjv1_20230; GLP1: Pjv1_12278; CDR1, Pjv1_10273. Gene ID of the 97 SBTs in *P. japonicum* are provided in Supplemental Data Set S3.

**Supplemental Data**
The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

**Supplemental Figure S1.** Differentially expressed genes between the intrusive cell region and the remainder of the haustorium.

**Supplemental Figure S2.** Expression of epidermis and intrusive cell markers during haustorium development.

**Supplemental Figure S3.** Alignment of amino acid sequences of four SBTs whose gene expression was high in intrusive cells.

**Supplemental Figure S4.** Expression dynamics of the SBT1.7.1 promoter during haustorium development.

**Supplemental Figure S5.** Xylem bridge in the haustoria.

**Supplemental Figure S6.** Effect of Epi10 on haustorial formation at a later stage.

**Supplemental Figure S7.** Effect of the two structurally unrelated SBT inhibitors from different species on xylem bridge formation.

**Supplemental Figure S8.** Effect of Epi10 on haustorial formation and auxin response at a later stage.

**Supplemental Figure S9.** Phylogeny of ICSL1 in *P. japonicum* and the related LRR-RLKs in Arabidopsis.

**Supplemental Figure S10.** Phylogeny of GLP1 in *P. japonicum*, GhABP19 in *Gossypium hirsutum*, and the GLPs in Arabidopsis.

**Supplemental Figure S11.** Phylogeny of CDR1 in *P. japonicum* and aspartic proteases in Arabidopsis.

**Supplemental Figure S12.** Phylogeny of the SBTs in *P. japonicum*, *S. asiatica* and *S. hermonitica*.

**Supplemental Table S1.** List of GO terms enriched in intrusive cells.

**Supplemental Table S2.** List of GO terms enriched in the haustorial parts, excluding the intrusive cells.

**Supplemental Table S3.** Genes exclusively expressed during the parasitic stage.

**Supplemental Table S4.** Primers used in this study.

**Supplemental Data set S1.** List of the whole transcriptome data.

**Supplemental Data set S2.** List of the 3,079 differentially expressed genes between the intrusive cell region and the remainder of the haustorium.

**Supplemental Data set S3.** Gene IDs of the 97 SBTs in *P. japonicum*.
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